



News Flash – The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) reminds all providers, physicians, and suppliers to allow sufficient time for the Medicare crossover process to work—approximately 15 work days after Medicare’s reimbursement is made, as stated in MLN Matters Article SE0909 (<http://www.cms.gov/MLNMArticles/downloads/SE0909.pdf>) — before attempting to balance bill their patients’ supplemental insurers. That is, do not balance bill until you have received written confirmation from Medicare that your patients’ claims will not be crossed over, or you have received a special notification letter explaining why specified claims cannot be crossed over. Remittance Advice Remark Codes MA18 or N89 on your Medicare Remittance Advice (MRA) represent Medicare’s intention to cross your patients’ claims over.

MLN Matters® Number: MM6698 **Revised**

Related Change Request (CR) #: 6698

Related CR Release Date: March 16, 2010

Effective Date: March 1, 2010

Related CR Transmittal #: R327PI

Implementation Date: April 16, 2010

Signature Guidelines for Medical Review Purposes

Note: This article was revised and re-issued on April 26, 2010, to include additional clarifying language from CR 6698.

Provider Types Affected

This article is for physicians, non-physician practitioners, and suppliers submitting claims to Medicare Fiscal Intermediaries (FIs), Part A/B Medicare Administrative Contractors (A/B MACs), Carriers, Regional Home Health Intermediaries (RHHIs), and/or Durable Medical Equipment MACs (DME MACs) for services provided to Medicare beneficiaries.

Provider Action Needed

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) issued CR 6698 to clarify for providers how Medicare claims review contractors review claims and medical documentation submitted by providers. CR 6698 outlines the new rules for signatures and adds language for E-Prescribing. See the rest of this article for complete details. These revised/new signature requirements are applicable for

Disclaimer

This article was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This article may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage readers to review the specific statutes, regulations and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.

reviews conducted on or after the implementation date of April 16, 2010. **Please note that all signature requirements in CR 6698 are effective retroactively for Comprehensive Error Rate Testing (CERT) for the November 2010 report period.**

Background

Those contractors who review Medicare claims include MACs, Affiliated Contractors (ACs), the CERT contractors, Recovery Audit Contractors (RACs), Program Safeguard Contractors (PSCs), and Zone Program Integrity Contractors (ZPICs). These contractors are tasked with measuring, detecting, and correcting improper payments as well as identifying potential fraud in the Fee for Service (FFS) Medicare Program.

The previous language in the Program Integrity Manual (PIM) required a “legible identifier” in the form of a handwritten or electronic signature for every service provided or ordered. CR 6698 updates these requirements and adds E-Prescribing language.

For medical review purposes, Medicare requires that services provided/ordered be authenticated by the author. The method used must be a hand written or an electronic signature. Stamp signatures are not acceptable. There are some exceptions, i.e.:

EXCEPTION 1: Facsimiles of original written or electronic signatures are acceptable for the certifications of terminal illness for hospice.

EXCEPTION 2: There are some circumstances for which an order does not need to be signed. For example, orders for clinical diagnostic tests are not required to be signed. The rules in 42 CFR 410 and the Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, chapter 15, section 80.6.1, state that if the order for the clinical diagnostic test is unsigned, there must be medical documentation by the treating physician (e.g., a progress note) that he/she intended the clinical diagnostic test be performed. This documentation showing the intent that the test be performed must be authenticated by the author via a handwritten or electronic signature.

EXCEPTION 3: Other regulations and CMS instructions regarding signatures (such as timeliness standards for particular benefits) take precedence. For medical review purposes, if the relevant regulation, NCD, LCD and CMS manuals are silent on whether the signature be legible or present and the signature is illegible/missing, the reviewer shall follow the guidelines listed below to discern the identity and credentials (e.g. MD, RN) of the signator. In cases where the relevant regulation, NCD, LCD and CMS manuals have specific signature requirements, those signature requirements take precedence.

Disclaimer

This article was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This article may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage readers to review the specific statutes, regulations and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.

The AC, MAC and CERT reviewers shall apply the following signature requirements:

If there are reasons for denial unrelated to signature requirements, the reviewer need not proceed to signature authentication. If the criteria in the relevant Medicare policy cannot be met but for a key piece of medical documentation which contains a missing or illegible signature, the reviewer shall proceed to the signature assessment.

Providers should not add late signatures to the medical record, (beyond the short delay that occurs during the transcription process) but instead may make use of the signature authentication process.

Keep in mind that a handwritten signature is a mark or sign by an individual on a document to signify knowledge, approval, acceptance or obligation and note the following:

- If the signature is illegible, ACs, MACs, PSCs, ZPICs and CERT shall consider evidence in a signature log or attestation statement to determine the identity of the author of a medical record entry.
- If the signature is missing from an order, ACs, MACs, PSCs, ZPICs and CERT **shall disregard the order** during the review of the claim.
- If the signature is missing from any other medical documentation, ACs, MACs, PSCs, ZPICs and CERT shall accept a signature attestation from the author of the medical record entry.

The following are the signature requirements that the ACs, MACs, RACs, PSCs, ZPICs, and CERT contractors will apply:

- Other regulations and CMS instructions regarding signatures (such as timeliness standards for particular benefits) take precedence.
- **Definition of a handwritten signature** is a mark or sign by an individual on a document to signify knowledge, approval, acceptance or obligation.
- For medical review purposes, if the relevant regulation, NCD, LCD, and other CMS manuals are silent on whether the signature must be dated, the reviewer shall review to ensure that the documentation contains enough information for the reviewer to determine the date on which the service was performed/ordered. **EXAMPLE:** The claim selected for review is for a hospital visit on October 4. The Additional Documentation Request (ADR) response is one page from the hospital medical record containing three entries. The first entry is dated October 4 and is a physical therapy note. The second entry is a

Disclaimer

This article was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This article may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage readers to review the specific statutes, regulations and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.

physician visit note that is undated. The third entry is a nursing note dated October 4. The reviewer may conclude that the physician visit was conducted on October 4.

- **Definition of a Signature Log:** Providers will sometimes include, in the documentation they submit, a signature log that identifies the author associated with initials or an illegible signature. The signature log might be included on the actual page where the initials or illegible signature are used or might be a separate document. Reviewers will consider all submitted signature logs regardless of the date they were created.
- **Definition of an Attestation Statement:** In order for an attestation statement to be considered valid for Medicare medical review purposes, the statement must be signed and dated by the author of the medical record entry and contain the appropriate beneficiary information.
- Providers will sometimes include in the documentation they submit an attestation statement. In order to be considered valid for Medicare medical review purposes, an attestation statement must be signed and dated by the author of the medical record entry and must contain sufficient information to identify the beneficiary. Should a provider choose to submit an attestation statement, they may choose to use the following statement:
“I, _____[print full name of the physician/practitioner]____, hereby attest that the medical record entry for _____[date of service]____ accurately reflects signatures/notations that I made in my capacity as _____[insert provider credentials, e.g., M.D.]____ when I treated/diagnosed the above listed Medicare beneficiary. I do hereby attest that this information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and I understand that any falsification, omission, or concealment of material fact may subject me to administrative, civil, or criminal liability.”
- While this sample statement is an acceptable format, at this time, CMS is neither requiring nor instructing providers to use a certain form or format. A general request for signature attestation shall be considered a non-standardized follow-up question from the contractors to the providers so long as the contractors do not provide identical requirements or suggestions for the form or format of the attestation. The above format has not been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and therefore it is not mandatory. However, once OMB has assigned an OMB Paperwork Reduction Act number to this attestation process, a certain form/format will be mandatory.
- Claims reviewers will not consider attestation statements where there is no associated medical record entry or from someone other than the author of the medical record entry in question. Even in cases where two individuals are in the same group, one may not sign for the other in medical record entries or

Disclaimer

This article was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This article may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage readers to review the specific statutes, regulations and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.

attestation statements.

- If a signature is missing from an order, claims reviewers will disregard the order during the review of the claim.
- Reviewers will consider all attestations that meet the guidelines regardless of the date the attestation was created, except in those cases where the regulations or policy indicate that a signature must be in place prior to a given event or a given date.
- The following are the signature guidelines in section 3.4.1.1.B.c as shown in the manual revision attachment of CR 6698:
 - In the situations where the guidelines indicate “**signature requirements met**,” the reviewer will consider the entry.
 - In situations where the guidelines indicate “**contact provider and ask a non-standard follow up question**,” the reviewer will contact the person or organization that billed the claim and ask them if they would like to submit an attestation statement or signature log within 20 calendar days. The 20 day timeframe begins once the contractor makes an actual phone contact with the provider or on the date the request letter is received at the post office. (Reviewers will not contact the provider if the claim should be denied for reasons unrelated to the signature requirement.)
 - In the situations where the guidelines indicate “**signature requirements NOT met**,” the reviewer will disregard the entry and make the claims review determination using only the other submitted documentation.

Electronic Prescribing

Electronic prescribing (e-prescribing) is the transmission of prescription or prescription-related information through electronic media. E-prescribing takes place between a prescriber, dispenser, pharmacy benefit manager (PBM), or health plan. It can take place directly or through an e-prescribing network. With e-prescribing, health care professionals can electronically transmit both new prescriptions and responses to renewal requests to a pharmacy without having to write or fax the prescription. E-prescribing can save time, enhance office and pharmacy productivity, and improve patient safety and quality of care. Note the following key points:

- Reviewers will accept as a valid order any Part B drugs, other than controlled substances, ordered through a qualified E-Prescribing system. For Medicare Part B medical review purposes, a qualified E-Prescribing system is one that

Disclaimer

This article was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This article may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage readers to review the specific statutes, regulations and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.

meets all 42 CFR 423.160 requirements. To review the official standards for electronic prescribing, *42 CFR 423.160 Standards for Electronic Prescribing*, you may go to http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2008/octqtr/pdf/42cfr423.160.pdf on the Internet.

- When Part B drugs, other than controlled substances, have been ordered through a qualified E-Prescribing system, the reviewer will NOT require the provider to produce hardcopy pen and ink signatures as evidence of a drug order.
- At this time, AC, MAC, CERT, PSC, and ZPIC reviewers shall NOT accept as a valid order any controlled substance drugs that are ordered through any E-Prescribing system, even one which is qualified under Medicare Part D. When reviewing claims for controlled substance drugs, the reviewer shall only accept hardcopy pen and ink signatures as evidence of a drug order.
- At this time, the AC, MAC, CERT, PSC and ZPIC reviewers shall accept as a valid order any drugs incident to DME, other than controlled substances, ordered through a qualified E-Prescribing system. For the purpose of conducting Medicare medical review of drugs incident to DME, a qualified E-Prescribing system is one that meets all 42 CFR 423.160 requirements. When drugs incident to DME have been ordered through a qualified E-Prescribing system, the reviewer shall NOT require the provider to produced hardcopy pen and ink signatures as evidence of a drug order.

Additional Information

If you have questions, please contact your Medicare FI, carrier, A/B MAC, RHHI or DME MAC at their toll-free number which may be found at <http://www.cms.gov/MLNProducts/downloads/CallCenterTollNumDirectory.zip> on the CMS website.

The official instruction, CR6698, issued to your Medicare FI, carrier, A/B MAC, RHHI or DME MAC regarding this change may be viewed at <http://www.cms.gov/Transmittals/downloads/R327PI.pdf> on the CMS website.

Disclaimer

This article was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This article may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage readers to review the specific statutes, regulations and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.